RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 3 0 2006

LAW OFFICES OF

McGINN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PLLC

A PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY
PATENTS, TRADEMARKS, COPYRIGHTS, AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 200

VIENNA, VIRGINIA 22182-3817 TELEPHONE: (703) 761-4100

FACSIMILE/DATA: (703) 761-2375; 761-2376
E-MAIL: MCGINNGIBB @ AOL.COM / ADMIN @ MCGINNIPLAW.COM

SENDER'S E-MAIL: P MILLER @ MCGINNIPLAW.COM

SEAN M. MCGINN
PHILLIP E, MILLER*
FREDERICK E. COOPERRIDER*
JAMES E. HOWARD*
JAMES N. DRESSER
JOHN J. DRESCH
SCOTT M. TULINO
J. BRADLEY WRIGHT*
*MEMBER OF BAR OTHER THAN VA

June 30, 2006

<u>VIA FACSIMILE</u> EXPEDITED PROCEDURE

To: Examiner James H. Blackwell

Group Art Unit No. 2176

U. S. P. T. O.

From: Phillip E. Miller

Facsimile No. 703-761-2375

Facsimile No. 571-273-8300

Re: Filing of Request for Reconsideration

U. S. Patent Application Serial No. 09/837,158

Our Ref: ALM.047

Dear Examiner:

Enclosed please find a Request for Reconsideration filed in response to the Office Action dated May 15, 2006, which should place the Application in condition for Allowance.

Thank you in advance for your kind consideration of this case.

PEM/sb Enclosure Phillip E. Miller

thully yours,

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 3 0 2006

In re Application of

Karen Mae Holland et al.

Serial No.:

09/837,158

Group Art Unit:

2176

Filed:

April 19, 2001

Examiner:

James H. Blackwell

For:

METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR IDENTIFYING RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN TEXT DOCUMENTS AND STRUCTURED VARIABLES

PERTAINING TO THE TEXT DOCUMENTS

Honorable Commissioner of Patents Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 Box AF

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Six:

In response to the Office Action dated May 15, 2006, Applicant states as follows:

REMARKS

Claims 1-12 and 14-28 are all the claims presently pending in the application.

Applicant gratefully acknowledges the Examiner's indication that claim 26 would be <u>allowable</u> if rewritten in independent form. Applicant submits, however, that all of the claims are allowable.

Claims 1-2, 17, 23, 25 and 27-28 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over Lewak et al. (U. S. Patent No. 5,544,360) in view of Goldman et al. ("Knowledge Discovery in an Earthquake Text Database: Correlation between Significant Earthquakes and the Time of Day", IEEE, 1997, p. 12-21).

Claims 3-12, 14-16, 18-22 and 24 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being allegedly unpatentable over Lewak et al. (U. S. Patent No. 5,544,360) in view of Goldszmidt et al. ("A Probabilistic Approach to Full-Text Document Clustering" 1998, Technical Report ITAD-433-MS-98-044, SRI International).

These rejections are respectfully traversed in the following discussion.